

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/480,193	YANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Holly Rickman.

(3) _____.

(2) Tom Roland.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

14-15

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Holly Rickman
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Roland agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct minor errors in the preambles of claimed 14-15. Exr indicated that upon reconsideration of the prosecution history, all claims were allowable over the art of record and a notice allowance would be issued..